

CSED700H: Convex Optimization

Mathematical background¹

Namhoon Lee

POSTECH

Fall 2023

¹These slides are created based on Appendix A in Convex Optimization by Boyd and Vandenberghe.

Table of Contents

Norms

Analysis

Functions

Derivatives

Linear algebra

Table of Contents

Norms

Analysis

Functions

Derivatives

Linear algebra

Inner product, Euclidean norms, and angle

- ▶ *standard inner product* for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x^\top y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$$

- ▶ *Euclidean norm* or l_2 -norm of a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\|x\|_2 = (x^\top x)^{1/2} = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{1/2}$$

- ▶ *Cauchy-Schwartz inequality* for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$|x^\top y| \leq \|x\|_2 \|y\|_2$$

- ▶ (unsigned) *angle* between nonzero vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\angle(x, y) = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{x^\top y}{\|x\|_2 \|y\|_2} \right)$$

where $\cos^{-1}(u) \in [0, \pi]$; x and y are *orthogonal* if $x^\top y = 0$

- ▶ standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ for $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{tr}(X^T Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij} Y_{ij}$$

- ▶ *Frobenius norm* of a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$$\|X\|_F = (\text{tr}(X^T X))^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

- ▶ standard inner product on \mathbb{S}^n

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{tr}(XY) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij} Y_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ii} Y_{ii} + 2 \sum_{i < j} X_{ij} Y_{ij}$$

Norms

- ▶ A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a *norm* if
 - ▶ f is nonnegative: $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 - ▶ f is definite: $f(x) = 0$ only if $x = 0$
 - ▶ f is homogeneous: $f(tx) = |t|f(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$
 - ▶ f satisfies the triangle inequality: $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- ▶ $f(x) = \|x\|$ suggests that a norm is a generalization of the absolute value on \mathbb{R} .
- ▶ When we specify a particular norm, we use the notation $\|x\|_{\text{symb}}$.

Distance

- ▶ A norm is a measure of the *length* of a vector x .
- ▶ We can measure the *distance* between two vectors x and y as the length of their difference, *i.e.*,

$$\text{dist}(x, y) = \|x - y\|.$$

Unit ball

- ▶ *unit ball* of the norm $\|\cdot\|$

$$\mathcal{B} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$$

- ▶ The unit ball satisfies the following properties:
 - ▶ \mathcal{B} is symmetric about the origin, *i.e.*, $x \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $-x \in \mathcal{B}$
 - ▶ \mathcal{B} is convex
 - ▶ \mathcal{B} is closed, bounded, and has nonempty interior
- ▶ Conversely, if $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is any set satisfying these three conditions, then it is the unit ball of a norm

$$\|x\| = (\sup\{t \geq 0 \mid tx \in C\})^{-1}.$$

Examples

- ▶ absolute value on \mathbb{R}
- ▶ l_p -norm on \mathbb{R}^n

$$\|x\|_p = (|x_1|^p + \dots + |x_n|^p)^{1/p}$$

- ▶ $p = 2$: $\|x\|_2 = (x^\top x)^{1/2} = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{1/2}$
 - ▶ $p = 1$: $\|x\|_1 = |x_1| + \dots + |x_n|$
 - ▶ $p = \infty$: $\|x\|_\infty = \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\}$
- ▶ P -quadratic norms for $P \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$

$$\|x\|_P = (x^\top P x)^{1/2} = \|P^{1/2} x\|_2$$

- ▶ The unit ball of a quadratic norm is an ellipsoid (and conversely, if the unit ball of a norm is an ellipsoid, the norm is a quadratic norm).

- ▶ Frobenius norm on $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$$\|X\|_F = (\text{tr}(X^\top X))^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

- ▶ sum-absolute-value norm

$$\|X\|_{\text{sav}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |X_{ij}|$$

- ▶ maximum-absolute-value norm

$$\|X\|_{\text{max}} = \max\{|X_{ij}| \mid i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

Equivalence of norms

- ▶ Suppose that $\|\cdot\|_a$ and $\|\cdot\|_b$ are norms on \mathbb{R}^n . A basic result of analysis is that there exist positive constants α and β such that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\alpha\|x\|_a \leq \|x\|_b \leq \beta\|x\|_a.$$

This means that the norms are *equivalent*, i.e., they define the same set of open subsets, the same set of convergent sequences, and so on.

- ▶ Using convex analysis, we can give a more specific result: If $\|\cdot\|$ is any norm on \mathbb{R}^n , then there exists a quadratic norm $\|\cdot\|_P$ for which

$$\|x\|_P \leq \|x\| \leq \sqrt{n}\|x\|_P$$

holds for all x . In other words, any norm on \mathbb{R}^n can be uniformly approximated, within a factor of \sqrt{n} , by a quadratic norm.

Operator norms

- ▶ Suppose $\|\cdot\|_a$ and $\|\cdot\|_b$ are norms on \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n , respectively. We define the *operator norm* of $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, induced by the norms $\|\cdot\|_a$ and $\|\cdot\|_b$, as

$$\|X\|_{a,b} = \sup\{\|Xu\|_a \mid \|u\|_b \leq 1\}.$$

- ▶ When $\|\cdot\|_a$ and $\|\cdot\|_b$ are both Euclidean norms, the operator norm of X is its *maximum singular value*, and is denoted $\|X\|_2$:

$$\|X\|_2 = \sigma_{\max}(X) = (\lambda_{\max}(X^\top X))^{1/2}.$$

This norm is also called the *spectral norm* or *l_2 -norm* of X .

- ▶ *max-row-sum norm*

$$\|X\|_\infty = \sup\{\|Xu\|_\infty \mid \|u\|_\infty \leq 1\} = \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \sum_{j=1}^n |X_{ij}|$$

- ▶ *max-column-sum norm*

$$\|X\|_1 = \max_{j=1,\dots,n} \sum_{i=1}^m |X_{ij}|$$

Dual norm

- ▶ Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on \mathbb{R}^n . The associated *dual norm*, denoted $\|\cdot\|_*$, is defined as

$$\|z\|_* = \sup\{z^\top x \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}.$$

- ▶ The dual norm can be interpreted as the operator norm of z^\top , interpreted as a $1 \times n$ matrix, with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n , and the absolute value on \mathbb{R} :

$$\|z\|_* = \sup\{|z^\top x| \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}.$$

- ▶ From the definition of dual norm we have the inequality

$$z^\top x \leq \|x\| \|z\|_*,$$

which holds for all x and z .

- ▶ The dual norm of the dual norm is the original norm, *i.e.*, $\|x\|_{**} = \|x\|$ for all x .

- ▶ The dual of the Euclidean norm is the Euclidean norm, since

$$\sup\{z^\top x \mid \|x\|_2 \leq 1\} = \|z\|_2.$$

(This follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality; for nonzero z , the value of x that maximizes $z^\top x$ over $\|x\|_2 \leq 1$ is $z/\|z\|_2$.)

- ▶ The dual of the l_∞ -norm is the l_1 -norm:

$$\sup\{z^\top x \mid \|x\|_\infty \leq 1\} = \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i| = \|z\|_1,$$

and the dual of the l_1 -norm is the l_∞ -norm.

- ▶ More generally, the dual of the l_p -norm is the l_q -norm, where q satisfies $1/p + 1/q = 1$, i.e., $q = p/(p - 1)$.

- For l_2 - or spectral norm on $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, the associated dual norm is

$$\|Z\|_{2*} = \sup\{\text{tr}(Z^\top X) \mid \|X\|_2 \leq 1\},$$

which turns out to be the sum of the singular values,

$$\|Z\|_{2*} = \sigma_1(Z) + \cdots + \sigma_r(Z) = \text{tr}(Z^\top Z)^{1/2},$$

where $r = \text{rank } Z$. This norm is sometimes called the *nuclear* norm.

Table of Contents

Norms

Analysis

Functions

Derivatives

Linear algebra

Interior

- ▶ An element $x \in \mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called an *interior* point of \mathbb{C} if there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ for which

$$\{y \mid \|y - x\|_2 \leq \epsilon\} \subseteq \mathbb{C},$$

i.e., there exists a ball centered at x that lies entirely in \mathbb{C} .

- ▶ The set of all points interior to \mathbb{C} is called the *interior* of \mathbb{C} and is denoted $\text{int } \mathbb{C}$.

Closure

- ▶ The *closure* of a set \mathbb{C} is defined as

$$\text{cl } \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{C}),$$

i.e., the complement of the interior of the complement of \mathbb{C} .

- ▶ A point x is in the closure of \mathbb{C} if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $y \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\|x - y\|_2 \leq \epsilon$.

Boundary

- ▶ The *boundary* of the set \mathbb{C} is defined as

$$\text{bd } \mathbb{C} = \text{cl } \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{int } \mathbb{C}.$$

- ▶ A *boundary point* x (i.e., a point $x \in \text{bd } \mathbb{C}$) satisfies the following property: For all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $y \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \notin \mathbb{C}$ with

$$\|y - x\|_2 \leq \epsilon, \quad \|z - x\|_2 \leq \epsilon,$$

i.e., there exist arbitrarily close points in \mathbb{C} , and also arbitrarily close points not in \mathbb{C} .

Open and closed sets

- ▶ A set \mathbb{C} is *open* if $\text{int } \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}$, *i.e.*, every point in \mathbb{C} is an interior point.
- ▶ A set $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is *closed* if its complement $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{C} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \notin \mathbb{C}\}$ is open.
- ▶ A set \mathbb{C} is closed if and only if it contains the limit point of every convergent sequence in it. In other words, if x_1, x_2, \dots converges to x , and $x_i \in \mathbb{C}$, then $x \in \mathbb{C}$. The closure of \mathbb{C} is the set of all limit points of convergent sequences in \mathbb{C} .
- ▶ A set \mathbb{C} is *closed* if it contains its boundary, *i.e.*, $\text{bd } \mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. It is *open* if it contains no boundary points, *i.e.*, $\mathbb{C} \cap \text{bd } \mathbb{C} = \emptyset$

Supremum

- ▶ Suppose $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A number a is an *upper bound* on \mathbb{C} if for each $x \in \mathbb{C}$, $x \leq a$.
- ▶ Then the set of upper bounds on a set \mathbb{C} is either
 - ▶ empty (in which case we say \mathbb{C} is unbounded above),
 - ▶ all of \mathbb{R} (only when $\mathbb{C} = \emptyset$), or
 - ▶ a closed infinite interval $[b, \infty)$.
- ▶ The number b is called the *least upper bound* or *supremum* of the set \mathbb{C} , and is denoted $\sup \mathbb{C}$.
- ▶ We take $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$, and $\sup \mathbb{C} = \infty$ if \mathbb{C} is unbounded above.
- ▶ When the set \mathbb{C} is finite, $\sup \mathbb{C}$ is the maximum of its elements.

Infimum

- ▶ A number a is a lower bound on $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if for each $x \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \leq x$.
- ▶ The *infimum* (or *greatest lower bound*) of a set $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is defined as $\inf \mathbb{C} = -\sup(-\mathbb{C})$.
- ▶ When \mathbb{C} is finite, the infimum is the minimum of its elements.
- ▶ We take $\inf \emptyset = \infty$, and $\inf \mathbb{C} = -\infty$ if \mathbb{C} is unbounded below, *i.e.*, has no lower bound.

Table of Contents

Norms

Analysis

Functions

Derivatives

Linear algebra

Function notation

- ▶ f is a function on the set $\text{dom } f \subseteq A$ into the set B

$$f : A \rightarrow B$$

the notation indicates *syntax*, not the domain of function

- ▶ for example

$$f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

f maps (some) n -vectors into m -vectors; it does not mean that $f(x)$ is defined for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- ▶ another example, $f : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$f(X) = \log \det X$$

with $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$

Continuity

- ▶ A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is *continuous* at $x \in \text{dom } f$ if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a δ such that

$$y \in \text{dom } f, \quad \|y - x\|_2 \leq \delta \Rightarrow \|f(y) - f(x)\|_2 \leq \epsilon.$$

- ▶ Continuity can be described in terms of limits: whenever the sequence x_1, x_2, \dots in $\text{dom } f$ converges to a point $x \in \text{dom } f$, the sequence $f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots$ converges to $f(x)$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_i) = f\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i\right).$$

- ▶ A function f is *continuous* if it is continuous at every point in its domain.

Closed functions

- ▶ A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *closed* if, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the sublevel set

$$\{x \in \text{dom } f \mid f(x) \leq \alpha\}$$

is closed.

- ▶ This is equivalent to the condition that the epigraph of f ,

$$\text{epi } f = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x \in \text{dom } f, f(x) \leq t\},$$

is closed.

- ▶ If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and $\text{dom } f$ is closed, then f is closed. If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, with $\text{dom } f$ open, then f is closed if and only if f converges to ∞ along every sequence converging to a boundary point of $\text{dom } f$. In other words, if $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = x \in \text{bd } \text{dom } f$, with $x_i \in \text{dom } f$, we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_i) = \infty$.

Examples on \mathbb{R}

- ▶ The function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $f(x) = x \log x$, $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{R}_{++}$, is *not* closed.
- ▶ The function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x \log x & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{dom } f = \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is closed.

- ▶ The function $f(x) = -\log x$, $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{R}_{++}$ is closed.

Table of Contents

Norms

Analysis

Functions

Derivatives

Linear algebra

Derivative

- ▶ Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $x \in \text{int dom } f$. The function f is differentiable at x if there exists a matrix $Df(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ that satisfies

$$\lim_{z \in \text{dom } f, z \neq x, z \rightarrow x} \frac{\|f(z) - f(x) - Df(x)(z - x)\|_2}{\|z - x\|_2} = 0,$$

in which case we refer to $Df(x)$ as the *derivative* (or *Jacobian*) of f at x .

- ▶ The function f is *differentiable* if $\text{dom } f$ is open, and it is differentiable at every point in its domain.
- ▶ The affine function of z given by

$$f(x) + Df(x)(z - x)$$

is called the *first-order approximation* of f at (or near) x .

- ▶ The derivative can be found by deriving the first-order approximation of the function f at x , or from partial derivatives:

$$Df(x)_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i(x)}{\partial x_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Gradient

- ▶ When f is real-valued (i.e., $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$) the derivative $Df(x)$ is a $1 \times n$ matrix, i.e., it is a *row* vector. Its transpose is called the *gradient* of the function:

$$\nabla f(x) = Df(x)^\top,$$

which is a (column) vector, i.e., in \mathbb{R}^n .

- ▶ Its components are the partial derivatives of f :

$$\nabla f(x)_i = \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

- ▶ The first-order approximation of f at a point $x \in \text{int dom } f$ can be expressed as (the affine function of z)

$$f(x) + \nabla f(x)^\top (z - x).$$

Chain rule

- ▶ Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is differentiable at $x \in \text{int dom } f$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ is differentiable at $f(x) \in \text{int dom } g$. Define the composition $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ by $h(z) = g(f(z))$. Then h is differentiable at x , with derivative

$$Dh(x) = Dg(f(x))Df(x).$$

- ▶ As an example, suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $h(x) = g(f(x))$. Taking the transpose of $Dh(x) = Dg(f(x))Df(x)$ yields

$$\nabla h(x) = g'(f(x))\nabla f(x).$$

Second derivative

- ▶ The second derivative or *Hessian matrix* of f at $x \in \text{int dom } f$, denoted $\nabla^2 f(x)$, is given by

$$\nabla^2 f(x)_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

provided f is twice differentiable at x , where the partial derivatives are evaluated at x .

- ▶ The second derivative can be interpreted as the derivative of the first derivative. If f is differentiable, the *gradient mapping* is the function $\nabla f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, with $\text{dom } \nabla f = \text{dom } f$, with value $\nabla f(x)$ at x . The derivative of this mapping is

$$D\nabla f(x) = \nabla^2 f(x).$$

Table of Contents

Norms

Analysis

Functions

Derivatives

Linear algebra

Range and nullspace

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.

- ▶ The *range* of A is the set of all vectors in \mathbb{R}^m that can be written as linear combinations of the columns of A , *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{R}(A) = \{Ax \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

- ▶ The range $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^m . Its dimension is the *rank* of A . The rank of A can never be greater than the minimum of m and n .
- ▶ The *nullspace* of A is the set of all vectors x mapped into zero by A :

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \{x \mid Ax = 0\}.$$

- ▶ The nullspace is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

Orthogonal decomposition induced by A

- ▶ If \mathcal{V} is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , its *orthogonal complement* is defined as

$$\mathcal{V}^\perp = \{x \mid z^\top x = 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

- ▶ A basic result of linear algebra is that, for any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{R}(A^\top)^\perp.$$

- ▶ This result is often stated as

$$\mathcal{N}(A) \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathcal{R}(A^\top) = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here the symbol $\overset{\perp}{\oplus}$ refers to *orthogonal direct sum*, i.e., the sum of two subspaces that are orthogonal. The decomposition is called the *orthogonal decomposition induced by A* .

Symmetric eigenvalue decomposition

- ▶ Suppose $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$. Then A can be factored as

$$A = Q\Lambda Q^T,$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is *orthogonal*, i.e., satisfies $Q^T Q = I$, and $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$.

- ▶ The (real) numbers λ_i are the *eigenvalues* of A , and are the roots of the *characteristic polynomial* $\det(sI - A)$.
- ▶ The columns of Q form an orthonormal set of *eigenvectors* of A .
- ▶ The factorization is called the *spectral decomposition* or (symmetric) *eigenvalue decomposition* of A .

- ▶ The determinant and trace can be expressed in terms of the eigenvalues,

$$\det A = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i, \quad \operatorname{tr} A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i,$$

as can the spectral and Frobenius norms,

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} |\lambda_i| = \max\{\lambda_1, -\lambda_n\}, \quad \|A\|_F = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Definiteness and matrix inequalities

- ▶ The largest and smallest eigenvalues satisfy

$$\lambda_{\max}(A) = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top Ax}{x^\top x}, \quad \lambda_{\min}(A) = \inf_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top Ax}{x^\top x}.$$

- ▶ A matrix $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$ is called *positive definite*, denoted as $A \succ 0$, if for all $x \neq 0$, $x^\top Ax > 0$. By the inequality above, we see that $A \succ 0$ if and only all its eigenvalues are positive, *i.e.*, $\lambda_{\min}(A) > 0$. If $-A$ is positive definite, we say A is *negative definite*, which we write as $A \prec 0$.
- ▶ If A satisfies $x^\top Ax \geq 0$ for all x , we say that A is *positive semidefinite* or *nonnegative definite*. If $-A$ is nonnegative definite, *i.e.*, if $x^\top Ax \leq 0$ for all x , we say that A is *negative semidefinite* or *nonpositive definite*.
- ▶ For $A, B \in \mathbb{S}^n$, we use $A \prec B$ to mean $B - A \succ 0$, and so on. These inequalities are called *matrix inequalities*, or generalized inequalities associated with the positive semidefinite cone.

Symmetric squareroot

- ▶ Let $A \in \mathbb{S}_+^n$, with eigenvalue decomposition $A = Q \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) Q^\top$. We define the (symmetric) squareroot of A as

$$A^{1/2} = Q \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1^{1/2}, \dots, \lambda_n^{1/2}) Q^\top.$$

The squareroot $A^{1/2}$ is the unique symmetric positive semidefinite solution of the equation $X^2 = A$.

Generalized eigenvalue decomposition

- ▶ The *generalized eigenvalue* of a pair of symmetric matrices $(A, B) \in \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n$ are defined as the roots of the polynomial $\det(sB - A)$.
- ▶ We are usually interested in matrix pairs with $B \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$. In this case the generalized eigenvalues are also the eigenvalues of $B^{-1/2}AB^{-1/2}$ (which are real). As with the standard eigenvalue decomposition, we order the generalized eigenvalues in nonincreasing order, as $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, and denote the maximum generalized eigenvalue by $\lambda_{\max}(A, B)$.
- ▶ When $B \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$, the pair of matrices can be factored as

$$A = V\Lambda V^\top, \quad B = VV^\top,$$

where $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is nonsingular, and $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, where λ_i are the generalized eigenvalues of the pair (A, B) . The decomposition is called the *generalized eigenvalue decomposition*.

- ▶ The generalized eigenvalue decomposition is related to the standard eigenvalue decomposition of the matrix $B^{-1/2}AB^{-1/2}$. If $Q\Lambda Q^\top$ is the eigenvalue decomposition of $B^{-1/2}AB^{-1/2}$, then the above holds with $V = B^{1/2}Q$.

Singular value decomposition

- ▶ Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $\text{rank } A = r$. Then A can be factored as

$$A = U\Sigma V^\top,$$

where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$ satisfies $U^\top U = I$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ satisfies $V^\top V = I$, and $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$ with $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r > 0$.

- ▶ The columns of U are called *left singular vectors* of A , the columns of V are *right singular vectors*, and the numbers σ_i are the *singular values*.
- ▶ The singular value decomposition can be written

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i u_i v_i^\top,$$

where $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are the left singular vectors, and $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are the right singular vectors.

- ▶ The singular value decomposition of a matrix A is closely related to the eigenvalue decomposition of the (symmetric, nonnegative definite) matrix $A^T A$.

$$A^T A = V \Sigma^2 V^T = [V \quad \tilde{V}] \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} [V \quad \tilde{V}]^T,$$

where \tilde{V} is any matrix for which $[V \quad \tilde{V}]$ is orthogonal.

- ▶ The righthand expression is the eigenvalue decomposition of $A^T A$, so we conclude that its nonzero eigenvalues are the singular values of A squared, and the associated eigenvectors of $A^T A$ are the right singular vectors of A .
- ▶ A similar analysis of AA^T shows that its nonzero eigenvalues are also the squares of the singular values of A , and the associated eigenvectors are the left singular vectors of A .

- ▶ The first or largest singular value is also written as $\sigma_{\max}(A)$. It can be expressed as

$$\sigma_{\max}(A) = \sup_{x,y \neq 0} \frac{x^\top Ay}{\|x\|_2 \|y\|_2} = \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{\|Ay\|_2}{\|y\|_2}.$$

The righthand expression shows that the maximum singular value is the l_2 operator norm of A .

- ▶ The *minimum singular value* of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is given by

$$\sigma_{\min}(A) = \begin{cases} \sigma_r(A) & r = \min\{m, n\} \\ 0 & r < \min\{m, n\}, \end{cases}$$

which is positive if and only if A is full rank.

- ▶ The singular values of a symmetric matrix are the absolute values of its nonzero eigenvalues, sorted into descending order. The singular values of a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix are the same as its nonzero eigenvalues.
- ▶ The *condition number* of a nonsingular $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, denoted $\text{cond}(A)$ or $\kappa(A)$, is defined as

$$\text{cond}(A) = \|A\|_2 \|A^{-1}\|_2 = \sigma_{\max}(A) / \sigma_{\min}(A).$$

Pseudo-inverse

- ▶ Let $A = U\Sigma V^\top$ be the singular value decomposition of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, with $\text{rank } A = r$. We define the *pseudo-inverse* or *Moore-Penrose inverse* of A as

$$A^\dagger = V\Sigma^{-1}U^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}.$$

- ▶ Alternative expressions are

$$A^\dagger = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (A^\top A + \epsilon I)^{-1} A^\top = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} A^\top (AA^\top + \epsilon I)^{-1},$$

where the limits are taken with $\epsilon > 0$, which ensures that the inverses in the expressions exist. If $\text{rank } A = m$, then $A^\dagger = A^\top (AA^\top)^{-1}$. If A is square and nonsingular, then $A^\dagger = A^{-1}$.

- ▶ The pseudo-inverse comes up in problems involving least-squares, minimum norm, quadratic minimization, and (Euclidean) projection. For example, $A^\dagger b$ is a solution of the least-squares problem

$$\text{minimize } \|Ax - b\|_2^2$$

in general. When the solution is not unique, $A^\dagger b$ gives the solution with minimum (Euclidean) norm. As another example, the matrix $AA^\dagger = UU^\top$ gives (Euclidean) projection on $\mathcal{R}(A)$. The matrix $A^\dagger A = VV^\top$ gives (Euclidean) projection on $\mathcal{R}(A^\top)$.

- ▶ The optimal value p^* of the (general, nonconvex) quadratic optimization problem

$$\text{minimize } (1/2)x^\top Px + q^\top x + r,$$

where $P \in \mathbb{S}^n$, can be expressed as

$$p^* = \begin{cases} -(1/2)q^\top P^\dagger q + r & P \succeq 0, \quad q \in \mathcal{R}(P) \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(This generalizes the expression $p^* = -(1/2)q^\top P^{-1}q + r$, valid for $P \succ 0$.)