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## A rapidly convergent descent method

 for minimizationR. Fletcher and M. J. D. Powell

The computer journal, 1963.

## Preliminary: Newton's method

We are interested in finding the minimum of an unrestricted, twice-differentiable at all points, and convex function $f$ :

$$
\min _{x} f(x)
$$

Gradient descent:

$$
x_{t+1}=x_{t}-\eta \nabla f\left(x_{t}\right)
$$

Newton's method:

$$
x_{t+1}=x_{t}-G\left(x_{t}\right)^{-1} \nabla f\left(x_{t}\right),
$$

where $G$ is the second-order derivative.

## Preliminary: Newton's method (continued)

Taylor series second-order approximation of $f$ at a local point:

$$
f(x) \approx f\left(x_{t}\right)+\nabla f\left(x_{t}\right)\left(x-x_{t}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2} f\left(x_{t}\right)\left(x-x_{t}\right)^{2}
$$

The minimum of $f(x)$ is found by setting its gradient to 0 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla f(x) & =\nabla f\left(x_{t}\right)+\nabla^{2} f\left(x_{t}\right)\left(x-x_{t}\right) \\
& =0 \\
\Leftrightarrow x & =x_{t}-G\left(x_{t}\right)^{-1} \nabla f\left(x_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This works because the second-order terms in the Taylor series expansion dominate near the minimum.

## Quasi-Newton method

Computing $G\left(x_{t}\right)^{-1}$ is extremely expensive.

- Computing Hessian takes $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$.
- Matrix inverse takes $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$.

Solution: let us approximate $G^{-1}\left(x_{t}\right)$ iteratively.

- Let us denote the approximation as $H_{t}: \approx G^{-1}\left(x_{t}\right)$.
- $x_{t+1}=x_{t}-H_{t} \nabla f\left(x_{t}\right)$ for each $t^{\text {th }}$ iteration
- Relevant method [Householder 1953] frequently fails to converge from a poor approximation to the minimum.


## Secant equation for approximating Hessian

Recall the first-order derivative:

$$
\frac{d}{d x} f(x)=\lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+\Delta x)-f(x)}{x+\Delta x-x}
$$

Approximating the second-order derivative of $G_{t} \approx \nabla^{2} f\left(x_{t}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{t+1} & \approx \frac{\nabla f\left(x_{t+1}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{t}\right)}{x_{t+1}-x_{t}} \\
G_{t+1}\left(x_{t+1}-x_{t}\right) & \approx \nabla f\left(x_{t+1}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By setting $H_{t+1}:=G_{t+1}^{-1}, s:=x_{t+1}-x_{t}$ and $y:=\nabla f\left(x_{t+1}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{t}\right)$ :

$$
H_{t+1} y=s
$$

## Symmetric rank-1 update (Davidon) ${ }^{1}$

Assumption: $H_{t+1}$ from $H_{t}$ follows rank-1 update such as:

$$
H_{t+1}=H_{t}+a u u^{\top}
$$

where $a$ is a scalar value and $u$ is an arbitrary vector.
Combining the secant equation $H_{t+1} y=s$ and setting $u=\alpha\left(H_{t} y-s\right)$ leads to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\quad H_{t} y & +a\left(\alpha\left(H_{t} y-s\right)\right)\left(\alpha\left(H_{t} y-s\right)\right)^{\top} y=s . \\
\Rightarrow & H_{t+1}
\end{aligned}=H_{t}+\frac{\left(s-H_{t} y\right)\left(s-H_{t} y\right)^{\top}}{\left(s-H_{t} y\right)^{\top} y} .
$$

This update has following limitations:

- $\left(s-H_{t} y\right)^{\top} y \approx 0$ may fail to update.
- $H_{t}$ is not guaranteed to be possitive semi-definite.
${ }^{1}$ Derivation taken from a lecture note, CMU [Javier Pẽna 2016]


## Symmetric rank-2 update (Davidon-Fletcher-Powell)

Assumption: $H_{t+1}$ from $H_{t}$ follows rank-2 update such as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{t+1} & =H_{t}+a u u^{\top}+b v v^{\top} \\
H_{t+1} y & =H_{t} y+a u u^{\top} y+b v v^{\top} y=s \\
\Leftrightarrow s-H_{t} y & =a u^{\top} y u+b v^{\top} y v
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are scalar values and $u$ and $v$ are arbitrary vectors.
By setting $u:=s$ and $v:=H_{t} y$ :

$$
H_{t+1}=H_{t}-\frac{H_{t} y y^{\top} H_{t}}{y^{\top} H_{t} y}+\frac{s s^{\top}}{y^{\top} s}
$$

## Stability

$H_{t}$ is positive definite $\rightarrow$ the convergence is stable.
Let $z$ be an arbitrary vector.

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{t+1} & =H_{t}-\frac{H_{t} y y^{\top} H_{t}}{y^{\top} H_{t} y}+\frac{s s^{\top}}{y^{\top} s} \\
\Rightarrow z^{\top} H_{t+1} z & =z^{\top} H_{t} z-\frac{z^{\top} H_{t} y y^{\top} H_{t} z}{y^{\top} H_{t} y}+\frac{z^{\top} s s^{\top} z}{y^{\top} s} \\
& =\frac{p^{\top} p q^{\top} q-\left(p^{\top} q\right)^{2}}{q^{\top} q}+\frac{\left(s^{\top} z\right)^{2}}{y^{\top} s} \text { where } p=H_{t}^{1 / 2} z \text { and } q=H_{t}^{1 / 2} y \\
& \geq \frac{\left(s^{\top} z\right)^{2}}{y^{\top} s} \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

on account of Schwartz's inequality.

## Experiment

Function (1):

- $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=100\left(x_{2}-x_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{2}$.
- This function is difficult to minimize on account of its having a steep sided valley following $x_{1}^{2}=x_{2}$.

Function (2):

- $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=100\left[x_{3}-10 \theta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]^{2}+\left[r\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-1\right]^{2}+x_{3}^{2}$.
- $2 \pi \theta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\arctan \left(x_{2} / x_{1}\right) \text { if } x_{1}>0, \\ \pi+\arctan \left(x_{2} / x_{1}\right) \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$
- $r\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$
- This function has a helical valley in the $x_{3}$ direction with pitch 10 and radius 1 .


## Experiment: function (1)

- $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=100\left(x_{2}-x_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{2}$.
- $\min f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(1,1)$

Table 1
A comparison in two dimensions


| $\underset{n}{\underset{n}{\text { Equivalent }}}$ | STEEPEST DESCENTS $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ | POWELL'S METHOD $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUR METHOD } \\ & f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $24 \cdot 200$ | 24.200 | 24.200 |
| 3 | 3.704 | $3 \cdot 643$ | 3.687 |
| 6 | $3 \cdot 339$ | $2 \cdot 898$ | 1.605 |
| 9 | 3.077 | $2 \cdot 195$ | 0.745 |
| 12 | $2 \cdot 869$ | 1.412 | $0 \cdot 196$ |
| 15 | $2 \cdot 689$ | $0 \cdot 831$ | $0 \cdot 012$ |
| 18 | 2.529 | 0.432 | $1 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 21 | $2 \cdot 383$ | 0.182 | - |
| 24 | $2 \cdot 247$ | 0.052 | - |
| 27 | $2 \cdot 118$ | $0 \cdot 004$ | - |
| 30 | 1.994 | $5 \times 10^{-5}$ | - |
| 33 | $1 \cdot 873$ | $8 \times 10^{-9}$ | - |

## Experiment: function (2)

- $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=100\left[x_{3}-10 \theta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]^{2}+\left[r\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-1\right]^{2}+x_{3}^{2}$.
- $\min f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=(1,0,0)$

Table 3
A function with a steep-sided helical valley

| $n$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $f$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | $2.5 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 1 | -1.000 | 2.278 | 1.431 | $5.2 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 2 | -0.023 | 2.004 | 2.649 | $1.1 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 3 | -0.856 | 1.559 | 3.429 | 74.080 |
| 4 | -0.372 | 1.127 | 3.319 | 24.190 |
| 5 | -0.499 | 0.908 | 3.285 | 10.942 |
| 6 | -0.314 | 0.900 | 3.075 | 9.841 |
| 7 | 0.059 | 1.069 | 2.408 | 6.304 |
| 8 | 0.146 | 1.086 | 2.261 | 6.093 |
| 9 | 0.774 | 0.725 | 1.218 | 1.889 |
| 10 | 0.746 | 0.706 | 1.242 | 1.752 |
| 11 | 0.894 | 0.496 | 0.772 | 0.762 |
| 12 | 0.994 | 0.298 | 0.441 | 0.382 |
| 13 | 0.994 | 0.191 | 0.317 | 0.141 |
| 14 | 1.017 | 0.085 | 0.133 | 0.058 |
| 15 | 0.997 | 0.070 | 0.110 | 0.013 |
| 16 | 1.002 | 0.009 | 0.014 | $8 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 17 | 1.000 | 0.002 | 0.040 | $3 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 18 | 1.000 | $10^{-5}$ | $10^{-5}$ | $7 \times 10^{-8}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Conclusion

Takeaway:

- This paper presents a Quasi-Newton method that iteratively approximates the inverse of Hessian using rank-2 update.

What I learned from reading this paper:

- Valuable experience of reading a classic paper
- Not easy to fully understand due to classical notations and unkind writing.
- Studied background of the second-order gradient methods.


## Midterm progress

Analysis on second-order optimization method:
Newton's and Quasi-Newton method

## The goal of the project

## Understanding \& in-depth analysis on second-order gradient methods.

Three representative second-order gradient methods that we chose are:

- Vanilla Newton's method
- A Quasi-Newton method (DFP [Fletcher and Powell 1963])
- A recent method (AdaHessian [Yao et al. 2021])

We will implement these methods and analyze them in two-variate convex functions.

## Progress

- Studied the background of second-order methods.
- Implemented code skeleton


## Plan

- Apr. 14th Apr. 30th: Survey \& study
- May. 1st - May. 19th : Implement Newton's, Quasi-Newton, AdaHessian method
- May. 20th - May. 26th : Implement evaluation pipeline.
- May. 26th - Jun. 1st : Analysis
- Jun. 2nd - Jun. 4th : Final report \& prepare for presentation
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## Thank you

- Any questions?


## Stability (continued)

$H_{t}$ is positive definite $\rightarrow$ the convergence is stable.
Let $z$ be an arbitrary vector.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{t+1}=H_{t}-\frac{H_{t} y y^{\top} H_{t}}{y^{\top} H_{t} y}+\frac{s s^{\top}}{y^{\top} s} \\
& \geq \frac{\left(s^{\top} z\right)^{2}}{y^{\top} s} \\
& y^{\top} s=\left(\nabla f\left(x_{t+1}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{t}\right)\right)^{\top}\left(x_{t+1}-x_{t}\right) \\
&=-\nabla f\left(x_{t}\right)^{\top}\left(x_{t+1}-x_{t}\right) \\
&= \nabla f\left(x_{t}\right)^{\top} H_{t} \nabla f\left(x_{t}\right) \\
&>0
\end{aligned}
$$

